## **Study Monitoring Questionnaire**

## KGSS

1a.	Please enter the nan	ne of your institute and you	ır country:		
	Institute:	Survey Research Center, Sungkyunkwan Univ.	Country:	South Korea	
1b.	Please enter the nan about the study:	ne of the principal investiga	ntor and your conta	act person for questi	ons
	Principal Investigator:	Jibum Kim	Contact Person:	Jibum Kim	
2a.	What kind of institu	ite fielded the module?	e principally doing	market research	
		_	rincipally doing ac		<u>*</u>
		An institute doing l			
			Other (please	write in details)	
2b.	Which institute carr	ried out the fielding?			
	PI's institute itself	√ OR	Institute name:		
3a.	Was the questionna	ire fielded			
				only in English	→ Question 39
	in	English plus other languag	ge(s)		→ Question 3b
	or	nly in translation			$\longrightarrow$ Question 31
3b.	Please enter the lang	guage(s) the module was fi	elded in.		
	Please write in: Korean				

4. Was the questionnaire for language 1? (If you used more than five languages, ple five used by the most respondents.)	ease report on the
a written translation (a questionnaire/application)	$\sqrt{}$ $\rightarrow$ Question 5
an oral translation (interviewer translated on the spot)	→Question 11
5. Who carried out translation 1? Please tick all that apply.	
A member or members of the research team	<b>√</b>
A translation bureau	
One or more specially trained translators	
Other (please write in details)	
6. Was translation 1 checked?	
Yes	$\sqrt{}$ $\rightarrow$ Question 7
No	→Question 8
7. How was translation 1 checked?	
Group discussion	
Expert checked it	<b>√</b>
Back translation	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in:	
8. Was the translation 1 questionnaire pre-tested?	
Yes	<b>√</b>
No	

9. Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused		
problems when translating into language 1? Please tick all that apply.  No problems	<b>√</b>	→Question 11
Answer scales		
Instructions		
Whole questions		
Words or concepts		
Other (please write in details)		
Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:	$\equiv$	
Trease write in details of problems checked/licked above.		
10. What did you do about any problems in translation 1?		
Please enter details:		
11. Was the questionnaire for language 2? (answer only if you have two or more translations/languages, otherwise continue with question 39)		
a written translation (a questionnaire/application)		→Question 12
an oral translation (interviewer translated on the spot)		→Question 18
12. Who carried out translation 2? Please tick all that apply.		
A member or members of the research team		
A translation bureau		
One or more specially trained translators		
Other (please write in details)		

13. Was translation 2 checked?	
Yes	→Question 14
No	→Question 15
14. How was translation 2 checked?	
	1
Group discussion	
Expert checked it	
Back translation	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in:	
L	
15. Was the translation 2 questionnaire pre-tested?	
Yes	
No	
16 Mars there are questions postions arounds an assente which several	
16. Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused problems when translating into language 2? Please tick all that apply.	1
No problems	→Question 18
Answer scales	
Instructions	
Whole questions	
Words or concepts	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:	

Please enter details:	
18. Was the questionnaire for language 3?(answer only if you have three or more translations/languages, otherwise continue with question 39)	
a written translation (a questionnaire/application)	→Question 19
an oral translation (interviewer translated on the spot)	→Question 25
19. Who carried out translation 3? Please tick all that apply.	
A member or members of the research team	
A translation bureau	
One or more specially trained translators	
Other (please write in details)	
20. Was translation 3 checked?	
Yes	→Question 21
No	→Question 22
21. How was translation 3 checked?	
Group discussion	
Expert checked it	
Back translation	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in:	

22. Was the translation 3 questionnaire pre-tested?	
Yes	
No	
23. Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused problems when translating into language 3? Please tick all that apply.	
No problems	→Question 25
Answer scales	
Instructions	
Whole questions	
Words or concepts	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:	
24. What did you do about any problems in translation 3?	
Please enter details:	
25. Was the questionnaire for language 4?(answer only if you have four or more translations/languages, otherwise continue with question 39)	
a written translation (a questionnaire/application)	→Question 26
an oral translation (interviewer translated on the spot)	→Question 32

26. Who carried out translation 4? Please	tick all that apply.	
	A member or members of the research team	
	A translation bureau	
	One or more specially trained translators	
	Other (please write in details)	
27 Mars to a slating 4 days 1 a 12		
27. Was translation 4 checked?		
	Yes	→Question 28
	No	→Question 29
28. How was translation 4 checked?		
	Group discussion	
	Expert checked it	
	Back translation	
	Other (please write in details)	
Please write in:		
29. Was the translation 4 questionnaire pr	re-tested?	
	Yes	
	No	

30.	Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused problems when translating into language 4? Please tick all that apply.
	No problems   No problems   →Question 3
	Answer scales
	Instructions
	Whole questions
	Words or concepts
	Other (please write in details)
	Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:
31	What did you do about any problems in translation 4?
01.	Please enter details:
32.	Was the questionnaire for language 5?(answer only if you have five or more translations/languages otherwise continue with question 39)
	a written translation (a questionnaire/application)
	an oral translation (interviewer translated on the spot) $\square$ $\rightarrow$ Question 39
33.	Who carried out translation 5? Please tick all that apply.
	A member or members of the research team
	A translation bureau
	One or more specially trained translators
	Other (please write in details)

34. Was translation 5 checked?		
	Yes	→Question 35
	No	→Question 36
35. How was translation 5 checked?		
Gro	up discussion	
Exp	ert checked it	
Ba	ck translation	
Other (please wi	rite in details)	
Please write in:		
36. Was the translation 5 questionnaire pre-tested?		
	Yes	
	No	
37. Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which cause problems when translating into language 5? Please tick all that apply		
	No problems	→Question 39
	Answer scales	
	Instructions	
Wh	nole questions	
Word	ds or concepts	
Other (please wi		
Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:		
The state of problems of bottom donor do		

38. What did you do about any problems in translation 5?	
Please enter details:	
39. What data collection methods were used for the module (substantive and background questions)?	
Face-to-face	$\sqrt{}$
Self-completion (with some interviewer involvement in delivering or collecting)	
'Mixed mode': part self-completion, part face-to-face (please write in details)	
Other (please write in details)	
If 'mixed mode' or other, please write in:	$\neg$
40. Were postal or telephone components used at any point (e.g., advance contacts)?	
Yes - postal	→Question 41
Yes - telephone	√ →Question 41
No [	→Question 42
41. Please give details of the postal and/or telephone components.	
Please enter details: Interviewers often made telephone calls to the respondents to explain why and how they want to conduct the interview as well as to make interview schedules.	

42. Were incentives offered?
Yes   No
43. How was the study fielded in your country?
As an $\underline{individual}$ survey (that is, the EASS was the whole survey) $\longrightarrow$ Question
As part of a <u>larger</u> survey $\sqrt{}$ $\rightarrow$ Question
44. Please provide information about the other stud(ies) the EASS was fielded with (e.g., topic, survey name).
Please enter: The KGSS comprises four parts: The first part includes replicating core questions. The second part is the International Social Survey Program (ISSP) module, which is a cross-national survey of 45 countries (2016 ISS module: Role of Government). The third part is the East Asian Social Survey (EASS) module. The last part contains modules proposed by researchers.
45. What was the approximate position of the EASS in the larger questionnaire?
Start of questionnaire
Middle of questionnaire $\sqrt{}$
End of questionnaire
46. Were the substantive questions in the EASS study all asked in the prescribed order?
Yes $\boxed{\sqrt{}} \rightarrow \text{Question } 4$
Yes, apart from omissions $\bigcirc$ $\rightarrow$ Question 4
No $\bigcirc$ $\rightarrow$ Question 4
47. Why was the question order changed?
Please enter:

48. Were all the <u>core</u> questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all required substantive and background questions)?
Yes – all substantive questions and background questions <u>included</u> $\boxed{ } \rightarrow \text{Question 50}$
No – substantive question(s) $\underline{\text{missing}}$ $\bigcirc$ $\rightarrow$ Question 49
No - required background question(s) missing ——Question 49
49. Please provide details of the questions missing and indicate why they are missing.
Reason(s) why missing:
Here we ask questions on sampling. 50. First, was your sample designed to be representative of
Only adult citizens of your country?
Adults of any nationality residing in your country? $\sqrt{}$
51. Second, was your sample designed to be representative of
Only adults living in private accommodation? $\boxed{1}$ $\rightarrow$ Question 52
Adults living in private and in institutional accommodation (e.g., residential homes for the elderly, asylum accommodation)?
If private and institutional, please enter details in box below.
Please enter in:  Cf. Approximately 98.8% of the adult population in South Korea live in private accommodations (Population and Housing Survey in Korea, 2011). Excluded from the survey is those residing in institutional arrangements, such as dormitories, military quarters, work camps, nursing homes, and so on.
52. Third, what was the <u>lower</u> age cut-off for your sample? $WRITE\ IN\ : \ \boxed{1\ 8}$

55.	. was there any <u>upper</u> age cut-off for your sample?	
	<i>Yes</i> - please write in cut-off	
	No cut-off $\sqrt{}$	
	. Were any groups excluded or under-represented in your sample design, apart from the age cut-offs or citizenship requirements just asked about?	
	No V	
	Yes (please write in details)	
	If yes, write in details:	
55. 1	. Did you use any variables for stratification? Yes $\sqrt{}$	→Question 55
	No	→Question 56
56. ]	. Please describe the stratification variables used?	
	We explicitly stratified the frame to improve the efficiency of estimates considering potential geographic and urban/rural homogeneity. Required numbers of PSUs were independently selected from each KwangYok Si/Do. The 100 PSUs were proportionally allocated to each KwangYok Si/Do based on the number of households.	
57. ]	. How many of stages does your sampling design have?	
	One stage	
	Two stages	
	Three stages	
	Four stages $\sqrt{}$	

58. Does your sampling frame consist or?	
Addresses	; <b>\</b>
Households	;
Named individuals (the target persons)	
Named individuals ( <u>not</u> the target persons)	
Areas	3
Something else (please write in details)	
59. Please describe your sampling frame (e.g., population register, electoral roll, telep its coverage and updating).	hone directory and
Please write in: Under the supervision of the SRC, the supervisor of interviewers in each universi created the addresses of housing units.	ty
60. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the first stage?	
Please write in: The primary sampling unit (PSU) for the 2016 KGSS is a Dong, Eub, or Myon. We selected 100 PSUs for the 2016 KGSS with probability proportional to size.	
61. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the second state (only if you have two or more stages, otherwise continue with question 64)	ge?
Please write in: The secondary sampling unit (SSU) is defined as Tong/Ban (for Dong) or Li (for Myun). ESSU is systematically selected from each selected PSU with probability proportional to si	

	lease describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the third stage? only if you have three or more stages, otherwise continue with question 64)	
A	Please write in: After completing the sampling frame for each selected Tong/Ban, a fixed number of Housing inites is systematically selected.	
	lease describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the fourth stage? only if you have four stages, otherwise continue with question 64)	
A se	Please write in: After compiling a list of adults 18 years of age or older for each selected household, an adult is elected using the last birthday method at the time of interview. In 2016, the total number of ompleted interviews was 1, 051.	
P	What selection method was used to identify a respondent? Please tick all that apply. (do <u>not</u> answer if your sampling frame consists of named indi- which are the target persons. Then continue with question 66)	viduals
	Kish grid	
	Last (or next) birthday $\sqrt{}$	
	Quota	
	Other (please write in details)	
65. Pl	lease describe your quota procedures. (only if you used quota, otherwise continue witl	n question 66)
F	Please write in:	

66. Was substitution or replacement permitted at any stage of your selection process or during fieldwork?	
Yes	→Question 67
No	$\sqrt{}$ $\rightarrow$ Question 68
67. Please provide details of the substitution or replacement procedures used.	
Please write in:	
68. All in all, what are the known limitations (biases) of your <u>net</u> sample? For example: is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?	
Please write in:	
69. Please fill in the following details about your issued sample.  Some categories may well not apply, but please complete to the highest level of detail possible.  Total number of <u>starting</u> or <u>issued</u> names/addresses (gross sample size)	2 2 3 6
- addresses which could not be traced at all selected respondents who could not be traced	0 0 4 5
- addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings	0 0 2 6
- selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate	0 0 1 9
- selected respondent away during survey period	0 0 1 9
- selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey	0 0 0 3
- no contact at selected address	0 1 2 7
- no contact with selected person	0 2 2 7
- personal refusal at selected address	0 2 7 6
- proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent)	0 3 7 8

	- other refusal at selected address	0	0 4	9	
	- other type of unproductive reaction (please write in full details in the box below)	0	0 0	9	
	- full productive interview (net sample size)	1	0 5	1	
	- partial productive interview	0	0 0	6	
	More information or Other type of unproductive reaction				
	Please write in:				
70.	Here we ask for information about interviewer procedures.				
	Were interviewers paid according to realized cases?  Yes	<b>1</b>			
	No				
b.	Which, if any, of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address/household?				
	PLEASE TICK THOSE THAT APPLY  Calls/visits must be made at different times of day	<b>1</b>			
	Calls/visits must be made on different days of week	<b>1</b>			
	Neither of the above				
c.	Were interviewers <u>required</u> to make a certain number of calls/ visits before they stan address or household?	opped	appı	roacl	hing
	Minimum number of calls/visits required - please write in number				
	No minimum call requirement	<b>√</b>			
d.	Were any interviews supervised (that is, supervisor accompanies interviewer)?				
	Yes - please write in approximate proportion			%	
	No	<b>1</b>			

Yes - please write in approximate proportion $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
No	
71. Please write in the approximate start and end dates of fieldwork.  D D M M Y Y	
Start date 0 7 0 6 1	6
End date $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	6
72. Were any measures of coding reliability employed?	
Yes V	
No	
73. Was keying of the data verified?	
Yes - please write in approximate level of verification $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ %	
No	
74. Were any reliability checks made on derived variables (that is variables constructed on the basis of other variables collected)?	
Yes V	
No No	
No derived variables	
Two derived variables	
75. Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were	
followed correctly?	
Yes V	
No	
76. Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?	
Yes $\sqrt{}$	
No	

77. Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted ranges?	
Yes $\sqrt{}$	
NT.	_ ]
No	
If you answered YES for <u>any</u> question from Q72 to Q77, continue with Question	n 78.
If you answered NO for <u>all</u> questions Q72 to Q77, continue with Question 79	
78. Were errors corrected?	
Please tick all that apply.	
Yes - individually $\sqrt{}$	
Yes - automatically $\sqrt{}$	
No - not corrected	
79. Were the data weighted or post-stratified?	
Y \[ \forall \]	Organian 80
Yes V	$\rightarrow$ Question 80
No	$\rightarrow$ Question 81
80. Please briefly describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.	
Please write in: Post-stratification weight was constructed using the following 4 variables with specific categor Female), Age (18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60 and over), Region (Seoul, Kyunggi, Kangwon/Je	
Kyungsang, Cholla), and Urbanicity (Urban, Rural). The distribution of respondents' gender, agurbanicity in the weighted dataset corresponds to that of the total population on the 2015 Census	-
81. Is a national methods report available for your study?	
v [1	7
Yes V	_
No	

Please write in:			
THAHK YOU VERY MUCH			
	Please write in:  THAHK YOU VERY MUCH		

82. If there is anything you would like to comment on, please do so here.