Study Monitoring Questionnaire

TSCS

Details of Your Institute

1a.	Please enter the n	ame of your institut	e and your	country:				
	Institute:	Institute of Sociology,		Country:	TAI	VAN		
1b.	Please enter the n about the study:	ame of the principa	I investigato	or and your c	ontac	t person for questions		
	Principal Investigator:	Yang-chih Fu		Contact Person:	Yan	g-chih Fu		
	0							
2a.	What kind of instit	ute fielded the mod	ule?					
		An instit	ute principa	lly doing ma	rket re	esearch		
		An institute	e principally	doing acade	mic re	esearch x		
		An institute doing	both marke	et and acade	mic re	esearch		
		Other (please write in details)						
2b.	Which institute car	rried out the fielding	l?					
	PI's institute itself		OR	Institut name:		Center for Survey Research, RCHSS, Academia Sinica		
Qu	estionnaire Langu	ages						
3a.	Was the questionr	naire fielded						
				0	nly in	English \longrightarrow Question 12		
		in English plus other language(s) \longrightarrow Question 3						
				only	in tra	nslation $x \rightarrow $ Question 3b		
3b.	Please enter the la	anguage(s) the mod	dule was fiel	ded in.				

Please write in: Chinese

Translation

Note: If your translation process was different for different languages, please answer questions 4 to 10 for your most common practice and note any differences for other languages in question 11.

4. Was the questionnaire ...?

	•
	a written translation (a questionnaire/application) $\boxed{x} \rightarrow$ Question 5
	an oral translation (interviewer translated on the spot) \bigcirc \rightarrow Question 1
5.	Who carried out translation? Please tick all that apply.
	A member or members of the research team x
	A translation bureau
	One or more specially trained translators
	Other (please write in details)
6.	Was translation checked?
	Yes, all of the questionnaire $x \rightarrow Question 7$
	Yes, only the new questions \bigcirc \rightarrow Question 7
	No \rightarrow Question 8
7.	How was translation checked?
	Group discussion x
	Expert checked it x
	Back translation
	Other (please write in details)
Ī	Please write in:

8.	Was the translation of	uestionnaire pre-tested?	
----	------------------------	--------------------------	--

Yes	x
No	
 Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused problems when translating? Please tick all that apply. No problems 	$x \rightarrow Question 11$
Answer scales	
Instructions	
Whole questions	
Words or concepts	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:	

10. What did you do about any problems in translation?

Please enter details:		

11. If your translation process was different for different languages, please note any differences for other languages in the box below.

Please enter details:

12. How was the study fielded in your country?

As an <u>individual</u> survey (that is, the EASS was the whole survey) \rightarrow Que

 \rightarrow Question 15

As part of a <u>larger</u> survey $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ \end{bmatrix}$ \rightarrow Question 13

13. Please provide information about the other stud(ies) the EASS was fielded with (e.g., topic, survey name).

<i>Please enter:</i> Taiwan Social Change Survey: 2016, Questionnaire I Family	
14. What was the approximate position of the module in the larger questionnaire?	J
Start of questionnaire	
Middle of questionnaire x	
End of questionnaire x	
15. Were the substantive questions in the module all asked in the prescribed order?	
Yes	$] \rightarrow Question 17$
Yes, apart from omissions	$] \rightarrow Question 17$
No	\rightarrow Question 16
16. Why was the question order changed?	-
Please enter:]
Most questions were asked in the prescribed order. Only a few questions' order was changed in order to incorporate the question items in the TSCS module.	
	J
17. Were all the <u>core</u> questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all required substantive and background questions)?	
Yes – all questions and background questions included	$] \rightarrow Question 19$
No – substantive question(s) from module missing	$] \rightarrow Question 18$

No – required background question(s) missing $\bigcirc \rightarrow$ Question 18

18. Please provide details of the questions missing and indicate why they are missing.

The TSCS did not include the following variables: v24, v31, v38, v45, v52, v59, v66, v73, v80, v87, v94, v131-v144, v148, v156, v164, v172, v181-182, v200, v218-219, v226-233, v241, empcntrt, tw_rinc, spinschl.

Reason(s) why missing: did not have enough space for the above items

Sampling

19. Was your sample designed to be representative of ... Only adult citizens of your country? Х Adults of any nationality residing in your country? 20. Was your sample designed to be representative of ... Only adults living in private accommodation? Х \rightarrow Question 21 Adults living in private and in institutional accommodation (e.g., residential homes for the elderly, asylum accommodation)? If private and institutional, please enter details in box below. Please enter in: 21. What was the lower age cut-off for your sample? WRITE IN : 1 8 22. Was there any upper age cut-off for your sample? Yes - please write in cut-off No cut-off Х

23. Were any groups excluded or under-represented in your sample design, apart from the age cut-offs or citizenship requirements just asked about?

No 🔤	
Yes (please write in details)	
If yes, write in details:	7
24. Did you use any variables for stratification?	
Yes x	\rightarrow Question 25
No	\rightarrow Question 26
25. Please describe the stratification variables used?	
Please write in: The following variables are used to stratify the population frame into six levels of regions:(1) the proportion of Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing employment as the total employment, (2) the proportion of industrial employment as the total employment, (3) the proportion of supervisors or professionals employment as the total employment, (4) the proportion of population between age15 and 64, (5) the proportion of population age 65 or older, (6) the proportion of population with bachelor's degree or higher levels of education, (7) population density, (8) population growth for the past 5 years	
26. How many of stages did your sampling design have?	
One stage	
Two stages	
Three stages	
Four stages	
27. Did your sampling frame consist of…?	
Addresses	
Households	
Named individuals (the target persons)	
Named individuals (<u>not</u> the target persons)	
Areas	
Something else (please write in details)	

28. Please describe your sampling frame (e.g., population register, electoral roll, telephone directory and its coverage and updating).

Please write in: Population register

29a. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the first stage?

Please write in: The number of target respondents is decided for each of the six strata of regions proportionate to the size of their populations.

29b. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the second stage? (Only if you have two or more stages, otherwise continue with question 30.)

Please write in: The number of townships is decided for each level of regions and is randomly selected from each level. Villages or "li"s (administrative unit under township) then are randomly selected from each chosen townships

29c. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the third stage? (Only if you have three or more stages, otherwise continue with question 30.)

Please write in: The number of respondents is decided for each village or li. Individuals ages 18 or over are randomly selected from household registers in each village or li (administrative unit under township).

29d. Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the fourth stage? (Only if you have four stages, otherwise continue with question 30.)

Please write in:

30. What selection method was used to identify a respondent?

(Do <u>not</u> answer if your sampling frame consists of named individuals – which are the target persons. Continue with question 32.)

Kish grid	
Last (or next) birthday	
Quota	
Other (please write in details)	
 Please describe your quota procedures. (Only if you used quota, otherwise continue with question 32) 	
Please write in:	

32. Was substitution or replacement permitted at any stage of your selection process or during fieldwork?

Yes	\rightarrow Question 33
No x	\rightarrow Question 34

33.Please provide details of the substitution or replacement procedures used.

Please write in:		

34. All in all, what are the known limitations (biases) of your <u>net</u> sample?

For example: is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?

Please write in:
A non-response bias comes from the use of household registration data in which
some household members in fact do not live in the household.

Data Collection

35. What data collection methods were used for the module (substantive and background questions)?

Face-to-face x	
Self-completion (with some interviewer involvement in delivering or collecting)	
'Mixed mode': part self-completion, part face-to-face (please write in details)	
Other (please write in details)	

If 'mixed mode' or other, please write in:	

36.	Please write in the approximate start and end dates of fieldwork.	D D	MM	ΥY
	Start date	0 7	0 8	1 6
	End date	1 1	1 1	1 6

37. Were postal or telephone components used at any point (e.g., advance contacts)?

Yes - postal	X	\rightarrow Question 38
Yes - telephone	X	\rightarrow Question 38
No		\rightarrow Question 39

38. Please give details of the postal and/or telephone components.

Please enter details:
We sent postal cards to all sampled individuals for advanced contacts.
About 30% of all respondents were contacted by phone for clarifying certain items
in the questionnaires by research assistants of the project.

Yes, to interviewer	39. Were	incentives offered?	Yes, to respondent	x

Interviewer Procedures

40.	Were interviewers paid according to realized cases?
	Yes x
	No
41.	Which, if any, of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address/household? Tick all that apply
	Calls/visits must be made at different times of day
	Calls/visits must be made on different days of week
	Neither of the above
42.	Were interviewers <u>required</u> to make a certain number of calls/ visits before they stopped approaching an address or household?
	Minimum number of calls/visits required - please write in number $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$
	No minimum call requirement
43.	Were any interviews supervised (that is, supervisor accompanies interviewer)?
	Yes - please write in approximate proportion $\begin{bmatrix} .6 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ % (=0.60%)
44.	No Were any interviews back-checked (e.g. supervisor checks later whether interview conducted)?
	Yes - please write in approximate proportion $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ %
	No

Response and Outcome Information

45. Please fill in the following details about your issued sample.

Some categories may not apply, but please complete to the highest level of detail possible.

Issued sample (n)	Total number of starting or issued names/addresses (gross sample size)	4076
Addresses which could not be traced at all/ selected respond who could not be traced		117
Ineligible (n)	Addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings	40
	Other ineligible (please specify)	0
Eligible (n)	Issued sample minus Ineligible	3919
	Personal refusal at selected address	750
Refusal (n)	Proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent)	119
	Other refusal at selected address	18
	No contact at selected address	391
Non-contact (n)	No contact with selected person	312
	Selected respondent too sick / incapacitated to participate	118
Other unproductive (n)	Selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey	1
	Selected respondent away during survey period	186
	Other type of unproductive reaction (please specify)	0
	Full productive interview	2024
Valid cases (n)	Partial productive interview (50% of the module or more)	0

More information on "Other ineligible":

Please write in:

More information on "Other type of unproductive reaction":

Please write in:

Coding and Verifying

46.	Were any measures of coding reliability employed? Yes		
47.	Was keying of the data verified?		
	Yes - please write in approximate level of verification	4	%
	No)	
48.	Were any reliability checks made on derived variables (that is variables constructed on the basis of other variables collected)?		
	Yes	S X	
	No)	
	No derived variables	;	
49.	Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly?		
	Yes	S X	
	No)	
50.	Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?		
	Yes	S X	
	No)	
51.	Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted ranges?		
	Yes	S X	
	No		

If you answered YES for <u>any</u> question from Q46 to Q51, continue with Question 52. If you answered NO for <u>all</u> questions Q46 to Q51, continue with Question 53.

52. Were errors corrected?

	Tick all that apply	
	Yes - individually x	
	Yes - automatically	
	No - not corrected	
53. Were the data weighted or post-stratified?		
Yes	$x \rightarrow Question$	54
No	\frown \rightarrow Question	55

Yes

No

х

54. Please briefly describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.

Please write in:
Data were weighted using an iterative, proportional raking scheme. Each observation was weighted by gender, age, urbanization, and education level. Weights were then generated to match the population characteristics of Taiwan.

- 55. Is a national methods report available for your study?
- 56. If there is anything you would like to comment on, please do so here.

Please write in:			

THANK YOU VERY MUCH